

## LONG-TERM CHANGES IN THE MACROPHYTES OF THE ZAGŁĘBOCZE LAKE IN THE LUBLIN POLESIE

H. Cieciarska<sup>1</sup>, J. Dziedzic<sup>2</sup>, J. Sender<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mycology, University of Warmia and Mazury,  
Żołnierska 14 str., 10-561 Olsztyn, e-mail: makrof@uwm.edu.pl

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany and Nature Protection, University of Warmia and Mazury  
Żołnierska 14 str., 10-561 Olsztyn, Poland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Hydrobiology and Ichthyology, University of Agriculture  
Akademicka 15 str., 20-950 Lublin, Poland

**A b s t r a c t.** Phytosociological studies on the littoral vegetation of the Zagłębocze Lake conducted in the summer of 1999 showed a considerable transformation of the phytolittoral. Extensive meadows with rare *Lychnothamnus barbatus* and *Nitella flexilis* disappeared completely. Formerly noted species: *Chara mucronata*, *Myriophyllum alterniflorum* (covering large areas) and other vascular plants were not found any longer. The place once occupied by vegetation with narrower ecological requirements was taken by photocenoses of *Ceratophylletum demersi* and *Elodeetum canadensis*; *Phragmites austrialis* superseded *Potamogeton lucens*, *Scirpus lacustris* and *Heleocharis palustris*.

**K e y w o r d s:** macrophytes, associations, vanishing species, lake

### INTRODUCTION

Lakes belong to the most valuable natural ecosystems in the Łęczna-Włodawa Lake District. Deterioration of their ecological condition has been observed for many years [17]. The most common forms of anthropopressure are agriculture and recreation. Construction of the Wieprz-Krzna Canal with its reclamation system resulted in the land over-drying. The area of lakes located in this region decreases gradually [8], and their trophic condition becomes worse [19]. Lowering of the water level and water deficit are global problems at present. However, they are of primary importance in the region discussed, as waters can be found here at a very shallow level. Lacustrine vegetation, directly affected by water quality, is subjected to radical changes. Some lakes are used for recreation, which results in a diminishing or a

complete disappearance of littoral communities, can be an example here the Piaseczno Lake [1,4].

The aim of the studies was to describe changes that took place in the littoral vegetation of the Zagłębocze Lake during the last 30-40 years.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Zagłębocze Lake is located in the protected zone of the Landscape Park the "Łęczna Lake District" (latitude of  $51^{\circ}26\text{-}51^{\circ}27'$  north, longitude of  $23^{\circ}01\text{-}23^{\circ}02'$  east). The Lake covers an area of 59.0 ha. Its maximum and average depth is 25 m and 7.3 m, respectively. The Lake is 942 m long and 798 m wide; its shoreline length is 2 879 m [21]. This is an inland lake of a dimictic type. The catchment basin area is 463.64 ha, including meadows and pastures – 34.5%, forests – 33.5%, arable land – 12.4%, shrubs, bushes, trees – 2.7%, recreation and other areas – 2.1% [7].

In the summer of 1999, the aquatic and rush vegetation of the Zagłębocze Lake was studied by a phytosociological method [2]. Phytosociological units are given after Matuszkiewicz [15].

#### RESULTS

Results present the former and present condition of macrophytes of the Zagłębocze Lake. Phytosociological examinations allowed to distinguish 17 associations. The areas occupied by particular phytocenoses are given in Table 1. Forty-three phytosociological records are presented in Tables 2-4. A list of some rare species registered at present and before (by other researchers) in this region is also included (Table 5 [6,11-13]).

The phytolittoral of the Zagłębocze Lake was subjected to considerable qualitative and quantitative transformations over the past years. The total number of communities changed slightly (Table 1). It was difficult to determine which plants formed phytocenoses and which constituted a "minority" only (in Tables the occurrence of the communities described in references is denoted by crosses). It was assumed that in the years 1965-1978 four phytocenoses from the *Charetea* class were described [11,12,14]. Fijalkowski [6] reported that in parts S and E of the littoral he observed numerous *Chara fragilis* plants, which could form an association there. The stonewort zone was locally dominated by *Nitellopsis obtusa* alone or with a large share of *Lychnothamnus barbatus* [12,13]. The role of other stoneworts, i.e., *Chara fragilis* var. *hedwigii*, *Nitella flexilis*, *N. mucronata*, in the struc-

**T a b l e 1.** Communities of vegetation in the phytolittoral of the Zagłębocze Lake of the Łęczna-Włodawa Lake District

Communities	*	1999(%)
Number of communities	15	17
Cl. Charetea:	1956-78	
O: <i>Charetales fragilis</i>		
All: <i>Nitellion flexilis</i>		
1. <i>Nitelletum flexilis</i>	+	
All: <i>Charion fragilis</i>		
2. <i>Nitellopsidetum obtusae</i>	+	0.7
3. <i>Charetem aculeolatae</i>		0.4
4. <i>Charetem fragilis</i>	+	0.1
5. <i>Charetem contrariae</i>	+	
6. Ass. with <i>Lychnothamnus barbatus</i>	+	
Cl. Potametea:	1955-57	1.2
O: <i>Potametalia</i> :		
All: <i>Potamion</i> :		
7. <i>Ceratophylletum demersi</i>	+	26.7
8. <i>Elodeetum canadensis</i>		22.2
9. <i>Potametum lucentis</i>		0.5
10. <i>Myriophylletum spicati</i>		0.2
All: <i>Nymphaeion</i> :		49.6
11. <i>Potametum natantis</i>		3.6
12. <i>Hydrocharitetum marsus - ranae</i>	+	3.1
13. <i>Nymphaeetum candidae</i>		0.2
Cl: <i>Litorelletea uniflorae</i> :		6.9
O: <i>Litorelletalia uniflorae</i> :		
All: <i>Lobelion</i> :		
14. <i>Myriophyllo-Littorellatum</i>	+	
C: <i>Phragmitetea</i> :		
O: <i>Phragmitetalia</i>		
All: <i>Phragmition</i> :		
15. <i>Phragmitetum austrialis</i>	+	35.1
16. <i>Scirpetum lacustris</i>	+	1.8
17. <i>Typhetum angustifiliae</i>	+	4.4
18. <i>Eleocharitetum palustris</i>	+	0.3
All: <i>Magnocaricion</i> :		41.6
19. <i>Caricetum rostratae</i>	+	0.3
20. <i>Caricetum acutiformis</i>		0.2
21. <i>Caricetum ripariae</i>		0.1
22. <i>Caricetum gracilis</i>	+	
23. <i>Caricetum elatae</i>	+	
		0.6

\**Charetea* (1965-78) by Karczmarz *et al.* [13,14], the remaining part (1955-57) by Fijałkowski [6]

**T a b l e 2.** Communities of the class Charetea

Community*	1	2	3
Number of relevés	2	1	1
Cl. Charetea:			
<i>Nitellopsis obtusa</i> (Desvaux) J. Groves	II <sup>5</sup>	8750	I <sup>2</sup> I <sup>4</sup>
<i>Chara fragilis</i> f. Hedvigii Ag.			1750 6250
<i>Chara fragilis</i> Desvaux	I <sup>2</sup>	1750	
<i>Chara aculeolata</i> Kutz.			I <sup>5</sup> 8750
<i>Lychnothamnus barabatus</i> (Meyen) v. Leonhardi	II <sup>1</sup>	500	
<i>Chara delicatula</i> Ag.	I <sup>1</sup>	500	
Cl. Potametea:			
<i>Elodea canadensis</i> Michx.	I <sup>2</sup>	1750	I <sup>2</sup> I <sup>+</sup>
<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> L.	I <sup>1</sup>	500	1750 10 I <sup>+</sup> 10

\*1 – Nitellopsidetum obtusae (Sauer 1937) Dąmbcka 1961 1961, 2 – Charetem fragilis Fijałkowski 1960, 3 – Charetem aculeolatae (Coryllion 1957) [5]

ture of phytocenoses was limited. They formed a 10-15 (18) m belt on the NW, N and NE shores of the lake, at a depth of 3.5-4.5 m. Water transparency varied from 3 to 8 m [18]. In 1999 it was at a level of 3.0-3.3 m. At present only two phytocenoses with the domination of *Nitellopsis obtusa* were found: the smaller one in part SE, the bigger one in part SW of the Lake. *Lychnothamnus barbatus* was not numerous there, and did not form phytocenoses of its own (Table 2). This species was also observed in the phytocenoses of other associations, e.g., *Potametum lucantis*, *Scirpetum lacustris* (Tables 3 and 4). The place once occupied by *Nitellopsis obtusa* and *Lychnothamnus barbatus* was then taken by *Elodeetum canadensis* and *Ceratophylletum demersi*. The vanishing of *Lychnothamnus barbatus* its localities in Poland and Europe are few [5,10], indicates deterioration of the habitats condition and replacement of stenotopic species (such as the stoneworts) by the eurytopic ones. In the Polesie Lubelskie, the only areas occupied by *Lychnothamnus barbatus* can be found on the Rogóźno Lake. Neither associations nor single plants of *Nitella flexilis* or *N. mucronata* were found in the present studies (Table 5). The occurrence of *Chara contraria* was not confirmed, either. A single phytocenosis with *Charetem fragilis* was noted in part N of the Lake.

A new association, not mentioned by other authors, is *Charetem aculeolatae*. Its single phytocenosis was found in part WE of the Lake (near a beach), 1 000 m<sup>2</sup> in the belt with *Elodea canadensis* and *Ceratophyllum demersum*. *Chara delicatula* – not noted before – was observed in a phytocenosis with Nitellopsidetum obtusae in part SW of the Lake (Tables 2 and 5).

Table 3. Communities of the class Potametea

Community*	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Number of relevés	5	4	2	2	1	3	1
Cl. Potamogetonetea:							
<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> L. s.s.	V <sup>4-5</sup>	7750	III <sup>+2</sup>	590	II <sup>1-2</sup>	1125	II <sup>1</sup>
<i>Elodea canadensis</i> Michx.	II <sup>2</sup>	1750	IV <sup>4-5</sup>	7500	II <sup>2</sup>	1750	II <sup>2</sup>
<i>Potamogeton lucens</i> L.	II <sup>1-3</sup>	2125	I <sup>2</sup>	478	II <sup>4</sup>	6250	
<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> L.	III <sup>+1</sup>	337	I <sup>1</sup>	125		III <sup>5</sup>	8750
<i>Potamogeton natans</i> L.	I <sup>+</sup>	10				I <sup>5</sup>	8750
<i>Stratiotes aloides</i> L.	II <sup>+2</sup>	880	II <sup>2-3</sup>	2750		I <sup>2</sup>	875
<i>Nymphaea candida</i> C. Presl	I <sup>1</sup>	500	I <sup>1</sup>	125		I <sup>2</sup>	1750
<i>Ranunculus circinatus</i> Sibth.	IV <sup>+2</sup>	1003	III <sup>+3</sup>	1420	I <sup>+</sup>	5	I <sup>+</sup>
<i>Nuphar lutea</i> (L.) Sibth. et Sm.			I <sup>+</sup>	3	I <sup>+</sup>	5	II <sup>+1</sup>
Cl. Characea:							
<i>Lychnothamnus barabatus</i> (Meyen) v.				I <sup>1</sup>	125		
<i>Leonhardi</i>							
<i>Nitellopsis obtusa</i> (Desevaux) J. Groves						I <sup>+</sup>	10
Cl. Phragmitetea:							
<i>Typha angustifolia</i> L.						I <sup>+</sup>	10

\*1 – *Ceratophylletum demersi* Hild. 1956, 2 – *Elodeetum canadensis* (Pgn. 1953) Pass. 1964, 3 – *Potametum lucentis* Hueck 1931, 4 – *Myriophylletum spicati* Soe 1927, 5 – *Potametum natantis* Soe 1923, 6 – *Hydrocharitetum morsus – ranae* Langdenenck 1935, 7 – *Nymphaeetum candidae* Miljan 1958

Table 4. Communities of the class Phragmitetea

Community*	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Number of relevés	4	2	5	3	3	2	2
Cl. Phragmitetea:							
<i>Scirpus lacustris</i> L.	<b>IV<sup>4-5</sup> 6875</b>		<b>II<sup>+3</sup> 1153</b>	<b>I<sup>3</sup> 750</b>	<b>I<sup>+</sup> 5</b>	<b>I<sup>1</sup> 250</b>	
<i>Typha angustifolia</i> L.		<b>II<sup>4-5</sup> 7500</b>		<b>II<sup>3</sup> 1500</b>			
<i>Eleocharis palustris</i> (L.) Roem, Schult	<b>I<sup>3</sup> 938</b>		<b>III<sup>4</sup> 6250</b>	<b>I<sup>+</sup> 2</b>	<b>I<sup>2</sup> 250</b>	<b>I<sup>1</sup> 250</b>	
<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. ex Stud.	<b>IV<sup>2-3</sup> 3250</b>	<b>I<sup>2</sup> 875</b>	<b>III<sup>1</sup> 333</b>	<b>V<sup>4-5</sup> 6750</b>	<b>I<sup>2</sup> 875</b>		<b>II<sup>2</sup> 1167</b>
<i>Carex riparia</i> Curtis			<b>II<sup>1</sup> 167</b>		<b>II<sup>5</sup> 8750</b>	<b>I<sup>1</sup> 167</b>	
<i>Carex acutiformis</i> L.				<b>I<sup>1</sup> 250</b>	<b>II<sup>5</sup> 8750</b>		
<i>Carex rostrata</i> Stokes		<b>I<sup>2</sup> 875</b>		<b>II<sup>+2</sup> 352</b>			<b>III<sup>4-5</sup> 7917</b>
<i>Equisetum fluviatile</i> L.				<b>I<sup>2</sup> 2</b>			
<i>Rumex hydrolapathum</i> Hudson						<b>I<sup>+</sup> 5</b>	
<i>Poa palustris</i> L.						<b>I<sup>2</sup> 875</b>	
<i>Agrostis stolonifer</i> L.					<b>I<sup>+</sup> 5</b>	<b>I<sup>1</sup> 250</b>	
<i>Cicuta virosa</i> L.					<b>I<sup>+</sup> 5</b>		
<i>Galium palustre</i> L.						<b>I<sup>1</sup> 250</b>	

Table 4. Continued

Community*	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Number of relevés	4	2	5	3	3	2	2	
Cl. Potamogetonetea:								
<i>Potamogeton lucens</i> L.	I <sup>1</sup> IV <sup>+2</sup>	125 690	II <sup>+</sup> I <sup>2</sup>	10 878	III <sup>+</sup> II <sup>+1</sup>	10 583	IV <sup>+2</sup> II <sup>+1</sup>	1052 102
<i>Elodea canadensis</i> Michx						II <sup>+</sup> I <sup>1</sup>	10 250	
<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> L.	III <sup>+2</sup>	878	I <sup>2</sup>	875	II <sup>+1</sup>	170	III <sup>+2</sup> II <sup>+1</sup>	
<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> L.	II <sup>+</sup>	5			III <sup>1</sup> I <sup>+</sup>	500 2	II <sup>+2</sup> II <sup>2</sup>	
<i>Stratiotes aloides</i> L.	I <sup>1</sup>	125	I <sup>1</sup>	250	II <sup>+1</sup>	102	587	
<i>Nuphar lutea</i> (L.) Sibth. et Sm.			I <sup>2</sup>	875				
<i>Nymphaea candida</i> C. Presl			I <sup>1</sup>	250		I <sup>2</sup> II <sup>+1</sup>	350	
<i>Potamogeton natans</i> L.			II <sup>1-2</sup>	1125		102	I <sup>+</sup> 5	
<i>Polygonum amphibium</i> f. <i>natans</i>					I <sup>3</sup> 583			
<i>Ranunculus circinatus</i> Sibth.	I <sup>1</sup>	125				I <sup>+</sup> I <sup>+1</sup>	3	
<i>Hydrocharitetum morsus-ranae</i> L.						5 255	II 250	

Table 4. Continuation

Community*	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Number of relevés	4	2	5	3	3	2	2
Cl. Charetea:							
<i>Lychnothamnus barabatus</i> (Meyen) v. Leonhardi	I <sup>1</sup>	125					
Accompanying species:							
<i>Lycopus europaeus</i> L.			I <sup>+</sup>	2	II <sup>+1</sup>	225	I <sup>+</sup> 5
<i>Juncus effusus</i> L.					I <sup>+</sup>	5	
<i>Epilobium hirsutum</i> L.					I <sup>+</sup>	5	
<i>Potentilla palustre</i> (L.) Scop.			I <sup>1</sup>	100			
<i>Lemna trisulca</i> L.	I <sup>+</sup>	3	I <sup>+</sup>	2	I <sup>+</sup>	5	
<i>Solanum dulcamara</i> L.					I <sup>1+2</sup>	880	
<i>Polygonum amphibium</i> f. <i>tresrre</i>	I <sup>+</sup>	3			I <sup>1</sup>	250	I <sup>1</sup> 250
<i>Bidens tripartita</i> L.							
<i>Bidens cernua</i> L.							
<i>Eupatorium cannabinum</i> L.					I <sup>+</sup>	5	
<i>Alnus incana</i> (L.) Moench					I <sup>+</sup>	5	
<i>Mentha aquatica</i> L.					I <sup>+</sup>	5	
<i>Cirsium palustre</i> (L.) Scop.			I <sup>1</sup>	250	I <sup>+</sup>	5	
<i>Salix cinerea</i> L.					I <sup>+</sup>	5	
<i>Lysimachia vulgaris</i> L.					I <sup>+</sup>	5	

*Sponginella lacustris* on the *Phragmites austrialis* in the Nord Zagłębocze Lake. 1 – *Scirpetum lacustris* (Allorge 1922) Chouard 1924, 2 – *Typhetum angustifoliae* (Allorge 1922) Soe 1927, 3 – *Eleocharitetum palustris* (Eennikov 1919, 4 – *Phragmitetum austrialis* (Gams 1927) Schmale 1939, 5 – *Caricetum ripariae* (Soe 1928, 6 – *Caricetum acutiformis* Sauer 1937, 7 – *Caricetum rostratae* Ruubel 1912

Table 5. List of rare species in the Zagłębocze Lake in the years: A – 1957-72 [11], 1953-57 [6]

Taxons	A	B
1. <i>Nitella flexilis</i> (L.) Agardh	+	
2. <i>Nitella mucronata</i> (A. Be.) Miquel	+	
3. <i>Nitellopsis obtusa</i> (Desevaux) J. Groves	+	+
4. <i>Lychnothamnus barabatus</i> (Meyen) v. Leonhardi	+	+
5. <i>Chara contraria</i> Kutz.	+	+
6. <i>Chara aculeolata</i> Kutz.		+
7. <i>Chara fragilis</i> Desvaux	+	+
8. <i>Chara fragilis</i> f. <i>Hedvigii</i> Ag.	+	+
9. <i>Chara delicatula</i> Ag.		+
10. <i>Myriophyllum alterniflorum</i> DC.	+	
11. <i>Potamogeton filiformis</i> Pers.	+	
12. <i>Najas marina</i> L.	+	
13. <i>Butomus umbellatus</i> L.	+	
14. <i>Sparganium minimum</i> Wallr.	+	

Phytosociological records show that the floral diversity of the class *Charetea* is not as wide as it used to be. The number of species decreased from 3-13 (8 on average) to 3-4 (4 on average).

Apart from stoneworts, *Myriophyllo-Littorellietum* phytocenoses (the variant with *Myriophyllum alterniflorum* only) could also be found in the immersed plant zone. *Myriophyllum spicatum*, *Polygonum amphibium*, *Potamogeton perfoliatus* and *Elodea canadensis* were observed in this association as well [6]. *Ceratophylletum demersi* phytocenoses developed in parts S and E of the Lake shore. Nowadays they dominate over other plants in this zone, constituting more than 1/4 of the phytolittoral area. Together with *Elodeetum canadensis*, they form an immersed plant zone (Table 1). This suggests that water quality deteriorates, as among immersed plants *Ceratophyllum demersum* displays the highest tolerance for shading [9]. *Myriophyllo-Littorellietum* phytocenoses (the variant with *Myriophyllum alterniflorum*) are an indicator of oligotrophic waters [20], so their disappearance is a sign of deterioration of ecological relations. The occurrence of some *Potametum lucentis* phytocenoses in the belt with *Elodea canadensis* indicates growing trophy of the ecosystem [16]. The range of plant occurrence diminished and the trophogenic zone (i.e., the zone of plant access to light) became smaller. The index of colonization, reflecting the extensiveness of this zone, is 1.28 in the Zagłębocze Lake, whereas in the Rogóźno Lake which is morphometrically similar, located in the close vicinity and equally deep – it reaches 1.46 [3]. In the Lake Rogóźno, 42.4% of the phytolittoral are compact areas covered by *Lychnothamnus barbatus*. Its catchment basin is afforested, and is not subjected to such intensive

anthropopressure as that of Zagłębocze Lake. Over 1/3 of the shoreline of the latter one is open for recreation. There are many beaches, piers and summerhouses there, and cars are permitted to park on its shores. The immersed plant zone constitutes ca. 50% of the phytolittoral (Table 1).

The zone of plants with floating leaves consists mainly of *Polygonetum natantis* (not noted before, an indicator of eutrophic waters) and *Hydrocharitetum morsus-ranae* (the variant with *Stratiotes aloides*, already described).

The rush zone also underwent significant changes. *Phragmites austrialis* superseded *Scirpus lacustris* and *Heleocharis palustris* [6]. It forms a belt, almost surrounding the Lake, at a depth of 1-1.5 m. It is a well-known fact that the area covered by reed increases when habitat quality deteriorates.

## CONCLUSIONS

As a result of intensive anthropopressure, the littoral vegetation of the Zagłębocze Lake underwent the following changes:

- the zone of plants from the class *Chareta* became species-poor, at the cost of such phytocenoses as *Nitelletum flexilis* and *Lychnothamnus barbatus* (very rare in both Poland and Europe);
- the place once occupied by communities from the class *Chareta* and phytocenoses with *Myriophyllum alterniflorum* was taken by those with *Ceratophylletum demersi* and *Elodeetum canadensis*;
- the area covered by *Phragmitetum austrialis*, earlier occupied by phytocenoses with *Scirpus lacustris* and *Heleocharis palustris*, increased;
- the index colonization of the littoral vegetation decreased and water transparency diminished, which indicates deterioration of the ecological condition of the Lake Zagłębocze.

## REFERENCES

1. **Baryla R., Fijalkowski D.:** Vascular vegetation lakes and peatbogs in Poleski National Park and him protection zone (in Polish). In: Protection water ecosystems and him protection (Ed. S. Radwan). AR Lublin, 79-84, 1995.
2. **Braun-Blanquet J.:** Pflanzensoziologie, Grundzuge der Vegetationskunde. 3. Aufl. Springer, Wien-New York, 1964.
3. **Ciecierska H., Radwan S.:** Phytocenotic diversity of littoral in lakes of the Łęczna-Włodawa Lake District (in Polish). In: Problems protection and use agricultural land great advantages of the natural (Eds S. Radwan, Z. Lorkiewicz). UMCS, Lublin, 71-77, 2000.
4. **Ciecierska H., Sender J., Biedunkiewicz A.:** Structure of water and rush plands in lake Piaseczno in Łęczna Włodawa Lake District (in Polish). In: Problems protection and use agricul-

- tural land great advantages of the natural (Eds: S. Radwan, Z. Lorkiewicz). UMCS, Lublin, 79-84, 2000.
5. **Dąmbska I.**: Freshwater florae in Poland. *Charophyta* (in Polish). 13, PWN, 126, 1964.
  6. **Fijałkowski D.**: Plant associations of Lakes Łęczna-Włodawa and of peatbogs adjacent to these lakes (in Polish). Ann. UMCS, B, 14, 3, 131-206, 1959.
  7. **Furtak T., Sobolewski W., Turezyński M.**: Characteristic catchment lakes (in Polish). In: Łęczna Włodawa Lakes (Ed.: M. Harasimiuk), UMCS, Lublin, 73-90, 1998.
  8. **Furtak T., Turezyński M.**: Change lakes area. In: Łęczna-Włodawa Lakes (Ed.: M. Harasimiuk) (in Polish). UMCS, Lublin, 91-92, 1998.
  9. **Hutchinson G.E.**: A Treatise on Limnology. Limnological Botany. 3, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975.
  10. **Karczmarz K.**: Variabilite et distribution geographique de *Lychnotamnus barbatus* (Meyen) Leonh. Acta Soc. Bot. Pol., 36, 3, 430-439, 1967.
  11. **Karczmarz K.**: Succession communities and occurrence *Characeae* in the Łęczna-Włodawa Lake District (in Polish). Ann. UMCS, Lublin, 30, C, 16, 113-118, 1975.
  12. **Karczmarz, K.**: Zone distribution of stoneworts in deep karst of the Łęczna-Włodawa Lake District (in Polish). Ann. UMCS, C, 35, 5, 43-52, 1980.
  13. **Karczmarz K., Krause W.**: A new locality of *Lychnothamnus barbatus* (Meyen) Leonh. in Poland. Acta Hydrobiol., 21, 3, 213-217, 1979.
  14. **Karczmarz K., Malicki J.**: Communities and ecology of stoneworts karst of the Łęczna-Włodawa Lake District (in Polish). Ann. UMCS, Lublin, 26, 23, 297-327, 1971.
  15. **Matuszkiewicz W.**: Key vegetation communities in Poland (in Polish). PWN, Warszawa, 23-417, 2001.
  16. **Preston C.D.**: Pondweeds of Great Britain and Ireland. Bot. Soc. British Isles, London, 1995.
  17. **Radwan, S., Chmielewski, T.**: Ecological degradation of the Łęczna-Włodawa Lake District water ecosystem (in Polish). Wyd. UMCS, Lublin, 363-370, 1996.
  18. **Radwan S., Kowalczyk Cz., Podgórski W., Fall J.**: Hydrochemistry materials of Łęczna-Włodawa Lake District (in Polish). Part III. Ann. UMCS, C, 28, 97-116, 1973.
  19. **Radwan, S., Stępień B.**: Water chemistry lakes in the Poleski National Park In: Protection water ecosystems and him protection (in Polish). AR, Lublin, 31-37, 1995.
  20. **Sculthorpe C.D.**: The biology of aquatic vascular plants. London reprint, Koeltz Scien. Books, West Germany, 1985.
  21. **Wilgał, T.**: Łęczna-Włodawa Lakes (in Polish), Ann. UMCS, Lublin, B, 8, 3, 37-122, 1953.

## DŁUGOTERMINOWE ZMIANY ROŚLINNOŚCI JEZIORA ZAGŁĘBOCZE NA POLESIU LUBELSKIM

H. Ciecierska<sup>1</sup>, J. Dziedzic<sup>2</sup>, J. Sender<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zakład Mykologii, Uniwersytet Warmińsko-Mazurski, ul. Żołnierska 14, 10-561 Olsztyn, Polska  
e-mail: makrof@uwm.edu.pl,

<sup>2</sup>Katedra Botaniki i Ochrony Przyrody, Uniwersytet Warmińsko-Mazurski  
ul. Żołnierska 14, 10-561 Olsztyn, Polska

<sup>3</sup>Katedra Hydrobiologii i Ictiologii, Akademia Rolnicza, ul. Akademicka 15, 20-950 Lublin, Polska

**S t r e s z c z e n i e.** Badania fitosocjologiczne roślinności jeziora Zagłębocze przeprowadzone latem 1999 roku wykazały dużą przebudowę fitolitoralu. Całkowicie zginęły rozległe łany z rzadką ramienicą *Lychnohamnus barbatus* oraz *Nitella flexilis*. Nie odnaleziono również wcześniej notowane gatunki *Chara mucronata*, *Myriophyllum alterniflorum* (tworzył duże powierzchnie) oraz inne rośliny naczyniowe. Miejsce roślinności o węższych wymaganiach ekologicznych zajęły fitocenozy *Ceratophylletum demersi*, *Elodeetum canadensis*, *Potamogeton lucens* oraz *Scirpus lacustris* i *Heleocharis palustris* został wyparty przez *Phragmites australis*.

**Słowa kluczowe:** jeziora, makrofity, zespoły roślinne, gatunki ginące